

# Autoduality of the compactified Jacobian

Eduardo Esteves<sup>1</sup>

*Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada  
Estrada D. Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro RJ, BRAZIL  
E-mail: Esteves@impa.br*

Mathieu Gagné

*EMC Corporation  
171 South Street, Hopkinton, MA 01748, USA  
E-mail: MGagne@emc.com*

and

Steven Kleiman<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Room 2-278 MIT,  
77 Mass Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA  
E-mail: Kleiman@math.mit.edu*

November 9, 1999

**ABSTRACT.** We prove the following *autoduality theorem* for an integral projective curve  $C$  in any characteristic. Given an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1, form the corresponding Abel map  $A_{\mathcal{L}}: C \rightarrow \bar{J}$ , which maps  $C$  into its compactified Jacobian, and form its pullback map  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*: \text{Pic}_J^0 \rightarrow J$ , which carries the connected component of 0 in the Picard scheme back to the Jacobian. If  $C$  has, at worst, points of multiplicity 2, then  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is an isomorphism, and forming it commutes with specializing  $C$ .

Much of our work is valid, more generally, for a family of curves with, at worst, points of embedding dimension 2. In this case, we use the determinant of cohomology to construct a right inverse to  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$ . Then we prove a scheme-theoretic version of the theorem of the cube, generalizing Mumford's, and use it to prove that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Finally, we prove our autoduality theorem: we use the presentation scheme to achieve an induction on the difference between the arithmetic and geometric genera; here, we use a few special properties of points of multiplicity 2.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be an integral projective curve, defined over an algebraically closed field of any characteristic, and  $\mathcal{L}$  an invertible sheaf of degree 1. Form the (generalized) Jacobian, the connected component of the identity of the Picard scheme,  $J := \text{Pic}_C^0$ . If  $C$  is smooth, then  $J$  is an Abelian variety, and the Abel map  $A_{\mathcal{L}}: C \rightarrow J$  is defined by  $P \mapsto \mathcal{L}(-P)$ . Also, the corresponding pullback is an isomorphism,  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*: \text{Pic}_J^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} J$ , which is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$ ; thus  $J$  is “autodual,” or canonically isomorphic to its own dual Abelian variety  $\text{Pic}_J^0$ . (See Theorem 3 on p.156 in [14] or Proposition 6.9 on p.118 in [16].) Our main result is the autoduality theorem of (2.1); it asserts that, more generally, if  $C$  has, at worst,

---

MSC-class: 14H40 (Primary) 14K30, 14H20 (Secondary).

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by PRONEX and CNPq Proc. 300004/95-8 (NV).

<sup>2</sup> Supported in part by NSF grant 9400918-DMS.

double points (arbitrary points of multiplicity 2), then a similar pullback is an isomorphism, and forming it commutes with specializing  $C$ .

Suppose first that  $C$  has arbitrary singularities. Recall (see [2], [4], [5]) that  $J$  has a natural compactification  $\bar{J}$ , the (fine) moduli space of torsion-free sheaves of rank 1 and degree 0. Also, the Abel map  $A_{\mathcal{L}}: C \rightarrow \bar{J}$  is defined by  $P \mapsto \mathcal{I}_P \otimes \mathcal{L}$  where  $\mathcal{I}_P$  is the ideal of  $P$ ; it is a closed embedding if  $C$  is not of genus 0. Furthermore, the Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}$  exists and is a union of quasi-projective, open and closed subschemes—including  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$  and  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^{\tau}$ , which are the connected component of 0 and the subscheme of points with multiples in  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$ .

Suppose now that all the singularities of  $C$  are *surfacial*, that is, of embedding dimension 2. Recall (see [1]) that  $\bar{J}$  is rather nice; it is a local complete intersection, and is integral and projective. So forming  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}$  commutes with specializing  $C$ , but conceivably forming  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$  does not. Nevertheless, we prove two general results, Propositions (2.2) and (3.7). The former asserts that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*: \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0 \rightarrow J$  has a natural right inverse  $\beta$ , which is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The latter is much deeper, and asserts that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is itself independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Suppose finally that all the singularities of  $C$  are double points. Then  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is an isomorphism and  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0 = \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^{\tau}$  by our autoduality theorem. Now, double points are surfacial; hence  $\bar{J}$  is integral. Moreover, there exists a scheme parameterizing the torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on  $\bar{J}$ ; it is a fine moduli space, and its connected components are projective. Let  $\bar{U}$  denote the closure of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$ . Then the isomorphism  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*: \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} J$  extends to a map  $\eta: \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{J}$  by Corollary (4.4); this is our deepest result, and rests on everything preceding it. Is  $\eta$  an isomorphism? Perhaps yes, perhaps no; our work does not appear to suggest which.

All four of our results are compatible with specializing  $C$ . More precisely, we prove relative versions of them for flat, projective families of geometrically integral curves over an arbitrary locally Noetherian base scheme. Some fibers may be smooth, others not. A node may degenerate into a cusp; two nodes may coalesce into a tac.

What happens when all the singularities of  $C$  are *surfacial*? Is  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  an isomorphism then too? The evidence is mixed. On the one hand, Propositions (2.2) and (3.7) suggest so, as they assert that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  has a right inverse  $\beta$ , and both maps are independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$ . On the other hand, our proof of the autoduality theorem suggests not; it doesn't simply fail when  $C$  has singularities of higher multiplicity, rather it suggests that then there may be a counterexample.

Indeed, to prove the autoduality theorem, we proceed basically as follows. We form  $J^1 := \text{Pic}_C^1$ , the component of the Picard scheme that parameterizes the invertible sheaves of degree 1. Then we put together the Abel maps  $A_{\mathcal{L}}$ , as  $\mathcal{L}$  varies, to form the Abel map of bidegree (1,1):

$$A: C \times J^1 \rightarrow \bar{J}.$$

This map is studied in the authors' paper [9] (where, however, the two factors are taken in the opposite order; that is,  $A$  maps  $J^1 \times C$  into  $\bar{J}$ ). In [9], the following facts are proved. Suppose that  $C$  is Gorenstein. Then  $A$  is smooth; so its image  $V$  is open. Furthermore, if  $g$  denotes the arithmetic genus, then the complement

$\bar{J} - V$  is of dimension at most  $g - 2$  if and only if all the singularities of  $C$  are double points.

Hence, if  $C$  has higher surficial singularities, then  $\bar{J}$  is irreducible, and  $\bar{J} - V$  contains a set of codimension 1. This set could support a Cartier divisor  $D$ . If  $D$  exists, then  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \mathcal{O}(D)$  is trivial for any  $\mathcal{L}$ . Furthermore,  $D$  could vary in an algebraic family with support on  $\bar{J} - V$  and with two linearly inequivalent members. If so, then their difference would correspond to a point of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$ , other than 0. Thus  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  would not be injective, and we'd have a counterexample.

On the other hand, suppose that all the singularities of  $C$  are double points. Then  $\bar{J} - V$  is small. Moreover,  $\bar{J}$  is a local complete intersection. So we may (and will) prove that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is injective basically as follows. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $\bar{J}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is trivial if its restriction  $\mathcal{N}|_V$  is trivial. In turn, to show that  $\mathcal{N}|_V$  is trivial, we may use descent theory since  $A$  is smooth, so flat.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponds to a point of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$ . Then there are invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{N}_1$  on  $C$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  on  $J^1$  such that

$$A^* \mathcal{N} = p_1^* \mathcal{N}_1 \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{N}_2,$$

where the  $p_i$  are the projections; the existence of the  $\mathcal{N}_i$  results from our general theory of the theorem of the cube, especially Part (2) of Lemma (3.6). Consequently,  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \mathcal{N}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{N}_1$ , so is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$ , as was asserted above.

Suppose also that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \mathcal{N}$  is trivial for some  $\mathcal{L}$ . We have to prove that  $\mathcal{N}$  is trivial too. To begin, note that  $\mathcal{N}_1$  is trivial, so  $A^* \mathcal{N}$  is equal to  $p_2^* \mathcal{N}_2$ . We proceed by induction on the arithmetic genus  $g$ . Choose a double point  $Q$  on  $C$ , and blow  $Q$  up, getting  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$ . Then  $C^\dagger$  too has only double points by Lemma (6.4) of [9], and its arithmetic genus is  $g - 1$  by Proposition (6.1) of [9].

To relate the compactified Jacobians  $\bar{J}_C$  and  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}$  of  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$ , we use the presentation scheme  $P$  and the maps  $\kappa: P \rightarrow \bar{J}_C$  and  $\pi: P \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}$ ; they are studied in [9]. By Theorem (6.3) of [9], because  $Q$  is a double point,  $\pi$  is a locally trivial  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle. On each  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , the restriction of  $\kappa^* \mathcal{N}$  is trivial because  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponds to a point of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}_C}^0$ . Hence,  $\kappa^* \mathcal{N}$  is the pullback of a sheaf  $\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  on  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}$ .

Because  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$  are Gorenstein, there are two natural commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\dagger \times J_C^1 & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & P \\ 1 \times \varphi^* \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ C^\dagger \times J_{C^\dagger}^1 & \xrightarrow{A_{C^\dagger}} & \bar{J}_{C^\dagger} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^\dagger \times J_C^1 & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & P \\ \varphi \times 1 \downarrow & & \kappa \downarrow \\ C \times J_C^1 & \xrightarrow{A_C} & \bar{J}_C \end{array}$$

by Corollary (5.5) in [9]; here  $A_C$  and  $A_{C^\dagger}$  are the Abel maps of  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$ . The diagrams imply that, if  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger := \varphi^* \mathcal{L}$ , then  $A_{C^\dagger}^* \mathcal{N}^\dagger$  is equal to  $\varphi^* A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \mathcal{N}$ , which is trivial by hypothesis.

Since autoduality holds for  $C^\dagger$  by induction,  $\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  is trivial. Since the pullback of  $\mathcal{N}^\dagger$  to  $P$  is equal to  $\kappa^* \mathcal{N}$ , the latter is trivial. So thanks to the commutativity of the second diagram above,  $(\varphi \times 1)^* A_C^* \mathcal{N}$  is trivial. Since  $A_C^* \mathcal{N}$  is equal to  $p_2^* \mathcal{N}_2$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{N}_2$  is trivial.

Since  $C$  and  $C^\dagger$  are Gorenstein, by Corollary (5.5) in [9], the second diagram above is Cartesian. Consider the descent data on  $(\varphi \times 1)^* A_C^* \mathcal{N}$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ ;

since  $\kappa^*\mathcal{N}$  is trivial, this data is trivial. Hence, so is that on  $A_C^*\mathcal{N}$  with respect to  $A_C$  because  $\kappa$  is birational. Therefore  $\mathcal{N}$  is trivial. Thus  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is injective.

We construct the right inverse  $\beta: J \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$  to  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  by using the determinant of cohomology  $\mathcal{D}$  along the projection  $q_2: C \times \bar{J} \rightarrow \bar{J}$ . We proceed as follows. Fix a universal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C \times \bar{J}$ . Then, given any invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $C$  of degree 0, set

$$\beta(\mathcal{M}) := (\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I} \otimes q_1^*\mathcal{M}))^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}),$$

where  $q_1: C \times \bar{J} \rightarrow C$  is the projection.

This construction was suggested by Breen [pvt. comm., 1985]. It is a modern formulation of an older construction using the theta divisor. Namely,

$$\beta(\mathcal{M}) = \Theta_{\mathcal{L}} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}^*\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$$

where  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}: \bar{J} \rightarrow \bar{J}$  is the translation, given by tensoring with  $\mathcal{M}$ , and where  $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the divisor obtained by pulling back the canonical theta divisor along the isomorphism  $\bar{J} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{J}^{g-1}$  given by tensoring with  $\mathcal{L}^{g-1}$ . We consider the equivalence of the two formulations in more detail in Remark (2.4).

Since  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*\beta = 1$ , the map  $\beta$  is a closed embedding. Since  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is injective, we could conclude that it is an isomorphism if we knew, a priori, that  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$  is reduced. We don't. So we must prove that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is a monomorphism, that is, injective on  $T$ -points; we take care to do so in (4.1).

In short, in Section 2, we formulate the autoduality theorem, our main result: if the curves in a family have double points at worst, then the Abel map  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is an isomorphism. Then we treat  $\beta$ , which is the canonical right inverse to  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$ . In Section 3, we generalize Mumford's scheme-theoretic theorem of the cube, and conclude that  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Finally, in Section 4, we prove our autoduality theorem, and then extend  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  to a map from the natural compactification of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$  onto  $\bar{J}$ .

## 2. Autoduality

(2.1) *Statement.* Consider a flat projective family of integral curves  $p: C \rightarrow S$ ; that is,  $S$  is a locally Noetherian scheme, and  $p$  is a flat and projective map with geometrically integral fibers of dimension 1. Recall (see [2], [4], [5]) that, given an integer  $n$ , there exists a projective  $S$ -scheme  $\bar{J}_{C/S}^n$  that parameterizes the torsion-free rank-1 sheaves of degree  $n$  on the fibers of  $C/S$ . Furthermore, there exists an open subscheme  $J_{C/S}^n$  parameterizing those sheaves that are invertible. Also, forming  $\bar{J}_{C/S}^n$  and  $J_{C/S}^n$  commutes with changing the base  $S$ . As is customary, call  $J_{C/S}^n$  the (relative generalized) *Jacobian* of  $C/S$ , and  $\bar{J}_{C/S}^n$  the *compactified Jacobian*. We will often abbreviate  $J_{C/S}^n$  by  $J^n$  and  $\bar{J}_{C/S}^n$  by  $\bar{J}^n$ . Set

$$J_{C/S} := J_{C/S}^0 \text{ and } \bar{J}_{C/S} := \bar{J}_{C/S}^0.$$

We will also abbreviate  $J_{C/S}$  by  $J$  and  $\bar{J}_{C/S}$  by  $\bar{J}$ .

More precisely, a (relative) *torsion-free rank-1 sheaf*  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C/S$  is an  $S$ -flat coherent  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -module  $\mathcal{I}$  such that, for each point  $s$  of  $S$ , the fiber  $\mathcal{I}(s)$  is a torsion-free

rank-1 sheaf on the fiber  $C(s)$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{I}$  is of degree  $n$  if  $\mathcal{I}(s)$  satisfies the relation,

$$\chi(\mathcal{I}(s)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_{C(s)}) = n.$$

Given a locally Noetherian  $S$ -scheme  $T$ , a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $n$  on  $C \times T/T$  defines an  $S$ -map  $T \rightarrow \bar{J}^n$ . Conversely, every such  $S$ -map arises from such a sheaf, which is determined up to tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $T$ , at least if the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section. If so, then in particular the identity map  $1_{\bar{J}^n}$  arises from such a sheaf on  $C \times \bar{J}^n/\bar{J}^n$ ; the latter sheaf is known as a *universal* (or Poincaré) sheaf, as any  $T \rightarrow \bar{J}^n$  arises from the sheaf on  $C \times T/T$  obtained by pulling back a universal sheaf.

In general, an  $S$ -map  $T \rightarrow \bar{J}^n$  arises rather from a pair  $(T'/T, \mathcal{I}')$  where  $T'/T$  is an étale covering (that is, the map  $T' \rightarrow T$  is étale, surjective, and of finite type) and where  $\mathcal{I}'$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $n$  on  $C \times T'/T'$ . Such a pair defines such an  $S$ -map if and only if there is an étale covering  $T''/T' \times_T T'$  such that the two pullbacks of  $\mathcal{I}'$  to  $C \times T''$  are equal. A second such pair  $(T'_1/T, \mathcal{I}'_1)$  defines the same  $S$ -map if and only if there is an étale covering  $T''/T' \times_T T'_1$  such that the pullbacks of  $\mathcal{I}'$  and  $\mathcal{I}'_1$  to  $C \times T''$  are equal. In sum,  $\bar{J}^n$  represents the étale sheaf associated to the functor of torsion-free rank-1 sheaves.

Given an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1 on  $C/S$ , define the *Abel map*,

$$A_{\mathcal{L}}: C \rightarrow \bar{J},$$

as follows. Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}$  be the ideal of the diagonal  $\Delta$  of  $C \times C$ , and  $p_1: C \times C \rightarrow C$  be the first projection. Then  $\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $-1$  on  $C \times C/C$ , and the tensor product  $\mathcal{I}_{\Delta} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}$  defines  $A_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Forming  $A_{\mathcal{L}}$  commutes with changing the base  $S$ , and if the fibers of  $C/S$  are not of arithmetic genus 0, then  $A_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a closed embedding by [5, (8.8), p. 108].

Assume now that the geometric fibers of  $C/S$  have only surficial singularities (ones with embedding dimension 2), for example, double points. Then the projective  $S$ -scheme  $\bar{J}^n$  is flat, and its geometric fibers are integral local complete intersections; see [1, (9), p. 8]. Hence, the Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}^n/S}$  exists and is a disjoint union of quasi-projective  $S$ -schemes; see Théorème 3.1, p. 232-06, in [10], and Corollary (6.7)(ii), p. 96, in [5]. So the Abel map induces an  $S$ -map,

$$A_{\mathcal{L}}^*: \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S} \rightarrow \coprod_n J^n.$$

As is customary [10, p. 236-03], let  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$  denote the set-theoretic union of the connected components of the identity 0 in the fibers of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$ , and let  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^{\tau}$  denote the set of points of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$  that have a multiple in  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$ . The set  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^{\tau}$  is open; give it the induced scheme structure.

The following theorem asserts that, if the geometric fibers of  $C/S$  only have double points (of arbitrary order) as singularities, then  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$  and  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^{\tau}$  are equal, and under  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$ , they are isomorphic to  $J$ . This is our main result, and its proof occupies the rest of the paper.

**Theorem** (Autoduality). *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves. Assume its geometric fibers have double points at worst. Then  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0 = \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^{\tau}$ .*

Furthermore, the Abel map induces an isomorphism,

$$A_{\mathcal{L}}^*: \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^{\tau} \xrightarrow{\sim} J,$$

which is independent of the choice of the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1 on  $C/S$ ; in fact, the isomorphism exists whether or not any sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  does.

**Proposition (2.2)** (Right inverse). *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves. Assume its geometric fibers only have surficial singularities. Then there exists a natural map,*

$$\beta: J \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S},$$

whose formation commutes with base change, and whose image lies in the subset  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$ . Furthermore,  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \circ \beta = 1_J$  for any  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Proof.** Choose an étale covering  $S'/S$  such that the smooth locus of  $C \times S'/S'$  admits a section (such a covering exists by [11, IV<sub>4</sub> 17.16.3(ii), p.106]). Choose universal sheaves  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C \times \bar{J} \times S'$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $C \times J \times S'$ . Form  $C \times \bar{J} \times J \times S'$ , and let  $p_{ijk}$  be the projection onto the product of the indicated factors. Set

$$\mathcal{M}^{\diamond} := (\mathcal{D}_{p_{234}}(p_{124}^* \mathcal{I} \otimes p_{134}^* \mathcal{M}))^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{p_{234}}(p_{124}^* \mathcal{I}) \text{ on } \bar{J} \times J \times S'$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{p_{234}}$  denotes the determinant of cohomology; see Section 6 in [8], or [13]. So  $\mathcal{M}^{\diamond}$  is an invertible sheaf. It defines the desired map  $\beta$  as we now prove.

The sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  is determined up to tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $\bar{J} \times S'$ . So the projection formula for the determinant of cohomology yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{p_{234}}(p_{124}^* \mathcal{I} \otimes p_{24}^* \mathcal{N} \otimes p_{134}^* \mathcal{M}) &= \mathcal{D}_{p_{234}}(p_{124}^* \mathcal{I} \otimes p_{134}^* \mathcal{M}) \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m} \\ \mathcal{D}_{p_{234}}(p_{124}^* \mathcal{I} \otimes p_{24}^* \mathcal{N}) &= \mathcal{D}_{p_{234}}(p_{124}^* \mathcal{I}) \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n} \end{aligned}$$

where the  $p$ 's are the indicated projections and where  $m$  and  $n$  are the Euler characteristics of  $p_{124}^* \mathcal{I} \otimes p_{134}^* \mathcal{M}$  and  $p_{124}^* \mathcal{I}$  on the fibers of  $p_{234}$  (thus  $m$  and  $n$  are locally constant functions on  $\bar{J} \times J \times S'$ ). Now,  $m = n$  because the fibers of  $p_{134}^* \mathcal{M}$  have degree 0. Therefore  $\mathcal{M}^{\diamond}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Similarly, the sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  is determined up to tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $J \times S'$ . Moreover, the preceding argument shows that, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is replaced by its tensor product with the pullback of  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\mathcal{M}^{\diamond}$  is replaced by its tensor product with the pullback of  $\mathcal{P}^{\otimes m}$ .

Set  $S'' := S' \times S'$ . There are two pullbacks of  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $C \times \bar{J} \times S''$ , and both are universal sheaves. Similarly, there are two pullbacks of  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $C \times J \times S''$ , and both are universal sheaves. Now, forming the determinant of cohomology commutes with changing the base. Therefore, by the preceding paragraphs, the two pullbacks of  $\mathcal{M}^{\diamond}$  to  $\bar{J} \times J \times S''$  differ by tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $J \times S''$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}^{\diamond}$  defines a map  $\beta: J \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$ .

Consider another choice of covering  $S'_1/S$  and of sheaves  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , and form the corresponding  $\mathcal{M}_1^{\diamond}$ . Set  $S'' := S' \times S'_1$ . Then the pullbacks of  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $C \times \bar{J} \times S''$  are both universal. Similarly, the pullbacks of  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $C \times J \times S''$  are both universal. Hence, by the preceding argument, the pullbacks of  $\mathcal{M}^{\diamond}$  and

$\mathcal{M}_1^\diamond$  to  $\bar{J} \times J \times S''$  differ by tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $J \times S''$ . So  $\mathcal{M}_1^\diamond$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond$  define the same map  $\beta$ .

Forming  $\beta$  commutes with changing  $S$  since forming the determinant does.

The image of  $\beta$  lies in  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$ . Indeed, we may change the base to an arbitrary geometric point of  $S$ , and so work over an algebraically closed field. Then  $J$  is integral. So it suffices to prove  $\beta(0) = 0$ . Now, we may choose  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C \times \bar{J}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $C \times J$ . Then the fiber  $\mathcal{M}(0)$  is equal to  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . Since forming the determinant commutes with passing to the fiber, it follows that  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond(0) = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{J}}$ . So  $\beta(0) = 0$ .

Finally,  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \circ \beta = 1_J$ . Indeed, it suffices to check this equation after changing the base to  $S'$ ; so assume  $S' = S$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  sits on  $C \times \bar{J}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  sits on  $C \times J$ . So  $A_{\mathcal{L}}$  is defined by  $(1_C \times A_{\mathcal{L}})^* \mathcal{I}$ , as well as by  $\mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}$ . Hence these two sheaves differ by tensor product with the pullback, along the projection  $p_2$ , of an invertible sheaf on  $C$ . It follows as above from the properties of the determinant of cohomology that

$$(A_{\mathcal{L}} \times 1_J)^* \mathcal{M}^\diamond = (\mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^* \mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{M}))^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^* \mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}) \quad (2.2.1)$$

on  $C \times J$ . So both sides of this equation define the same map  $J \rightarrow \coprod_n J^n$ .

To evaluate the right-hand side of (2.2.1), consider the natural sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C \times C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.2.2)$$

Pull it back to  $C \times C \times J$ , then tensor with  $p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{M}$  and with  $p_1^* \mathcal{L}$ . The additivity of the determinant of cohomology now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^* \mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{M}) &= \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{M}) \otimes (p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M})^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^* \mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}) &= \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_1^* \mathcal{L}) \otimes (p_1^* \mathcal{L})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following Cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times J & \xleftarrow{p_{13}} & C \times C \times J \\ \downarrow p_2 & \square & \downarrow p_{23} \\ J & \xleftarrow{p_2} & C \times J \end{array}$$

Forming the determinant commutes with changing the base. So, on  $C \times J$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{M}) &= p_2^* \mathcal{D}_{p_2}(p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}), \\ \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_1^* \mathcal{L}) &= p_2^* \mathcal{D}_{p_2}(p_1^* \mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the right-hand side of (2.2.1) differs from  $\mathcal{M}$  by tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $J$ . Therefore,  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \circ \beta = 1_J$ , and the proof is complete.

**Remark (2.3).** In Proposition (2.2), we made the hypothesis that the fibers of  $C/S$  have surficial singularities, but we did not use the hypothesis directly in the proof. Rather, we used it indirectly to guarantee the existence of the Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$ . Thus, the lemma is valid whenever this Picard scheme exists, for example, when  $S$  is the spectrum of a field; see Corollaire 1.2 on p. 596 in [18].

**Remark (2.4).** Under the conditions of Proposition (2.2), assume that there is an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1 on  $C/S$ . Then the map  $\beta: J \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$  can be constructed in another and more traditional way than that used in the proof of the

lemma. Namely,  $\beta$  can be constructed using the theta divisor associated to  $\mathcal{L}$ . This is a divisor  $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\bar{J}$ , and it may be constructed as follows.

Use the notation of the proof of the proposition. In addition, let  $g$  denote the (locally constant) arithmetic genus of the fibers of  $C/S$ . Now, on each fiber of the projection  $p_{23}: C \times \bar{J} \times S' \rightarrow \bar{J} \times S'$ , the restriction of  $\mathcal{I} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes g-1}$  has Euler characteristic 0. It follows that, on  $\bar{J} \times S'$ , the invertible sheaf

$$\mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(\mathcal{I} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes g-1})$$

has a canonical regular section; denote its divisor of zeros by  $\Theta'_{\mathcal{L}}$ . Arguing as in the proof of the lemma, we can show that  $\Theta'_{\mathcal{L}}$  descends to a divisor  $\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $\bar{J}$ .

Let  $\tau: \bar{J} \times J \rightarrow \bar{J}$  be the multiplication map; it is defined by  $p_{124}^* \mathcal{I} \otimes p_{134}^* \mathcal{M}$  on  $C \times \bar{J} \times J \times S'$ . On  $\bar{J} \times J \times S'$ , consider  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond$ , and on  $\bar{J} \times J$ , form the sheaf,

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{O}_{\bar{J} \times J}(p_1^* \Theta_{\mathcal{L}} - \tau^* \Theta_{\mathcal{L}}).$$

We are about to construct a faithfully flat covering  $S''/S'$  such that the pullbacks of  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are equal. Each sheaf defines a map from  $J$  to  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$ , and these two maps are equal after we change the base to  $S''$ . So, by descent theory, the two maps are equal to begin with. Therefore, since  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond$  defines  $\beta$ , so does  $\mathcal{T}$ .

To construct  $S''/S'$ , we may replace  $S$  by  $S'$ , and so assume  $S' = S$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $S$  is affine, so Noetherian, and is connected. After a further replacement of  $S$ , we may assume that the smooth locus  $C^{\text{sm}}$  of  $C/S$  admits a section  $\sigma$ ; in fact, if we replace  $S$  by  $C^{\text{sm}}$ , then the diagonal provides the desired section  $\sigma$ . Fix  $m$  so large that  $\mathcal{L}(m\sigma(S))$  is very ample. Then, again after replacing  $S$ , we can find a hyperplane section  $H$  of  $C$ , which is flat over  $S$  and whose support lies in  $C^{\text{sm}}$ .

Given any relative effective divisor  $H_0$  on  $C^{\text{sm}}/S$  of relative degree  $n$ , we can find a faithfully flat covering of  $S$  such that, after replacing  $S$ , we can find sections  $\sigma_i$  of  $C^{\text{sm}}/S$  such that

$$H_0 = \sigma_1(S) + \dots + \sigma_n(S). \quad (2.4.1)$$

Indeed,  $H_0/S$  is a faithfully flat covering, and after replacing  $S$  by  $H_0$ , we have a canonical section  $\sigma_1$  of  $C^{\text{sm}}/S$  whose image is a subscheme of  $H_0$  (in fact,  $\sigma_1$  is simply the diagonal map of the original  $H_0/S$ ). Form

$$H_1 := H_0 - \sigma_1(S).$$

It is a relative effective divisor on  $C^{\text{sm}}/S$  of constant relative degree  $n - 1$ . Hence, by induction, we may assume that, after replacing  $S$ , we can find sections  $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$  of  $C^{\text{sm}}/S$  such that  $H_1 = \sigma_2(S) + \dots + \sigma_n(S)$ . Then (2.4.1) holds. Taking  $H_0$  to be  $H$ , we conclude that we may assume that we have sections  $\sigma_i$  of  $C^{\text{sm}}/S$  such that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(T), \text{ where } T := \sigma_1(S) + \dots + \sigma_{m+1}(S) - m\sigma(S).$$

Given a Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $C$ , set  $\mathcal{I}(D) := \mathcal{I} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{O}_C(D)$  and

$$\mathcal{M}^\diamond[D] := (\mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^* \mathcal{I}(D) \otimes p_{13}^* \mathcal{M}))^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^* \mathcal{I}(D)).$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond = \mathcal{M}^\diamond[0]$ , and  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{M}^\diamond[(g-1)T]$ . Hence, it now suffices to prove the following assertion: given any section  $\rho$  of  $C^{\text{sm}}/S$ , set  $R := \rho(S)$  and let  $E := D + R$ ;



then  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond[D]$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond[E]$  differ by tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $J$ .

To prove this assertion, consider the natural exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-R) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_R \rightarrow 0.$$

Pull it back to  $C \times \bar{J} \times J$ , then tensor with  $p_{12}^*\mathcal{I}(E) \otimes p_{13}^*\mathcal{M}$  and with  $p_{12}^*\mathcal{I}(E)$ . Identify  $R \times \bar{J}$  with  $\bar{J}$ . Additivity of the determinant of cohomology now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^*\mathcal{I}(E) \otimes p_{13}^*\mathcal{M}) &= \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^*\mathcal{I}(D) \otimes p_{13}^*\mathcal{M}) \otimes p_1^*(\mathcal{I}(E)|\bar{J}) \otimes p_2^*(\mathcal{M}|J) \\ \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^*\mathcal{I}(E)) &= \mathcal{D}_{p_{23}}(p_{12}^*\mathcal{I}(D)) \otimes p_1^*(\mathcal{I}(E)|\bar{J}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond[D]$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\diamond[E]$  differ by tensor product with  $p_2^*(\mathcal{M}|J)$ . So the assertion holds. Thus  $\mathcal{T}$  defines  $\beta: J \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$ .

### 3. Theorem of the cube

(3.1) *Abel maps.* Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves,  $m$  and  $n$  integers. The *Abel map* of bidegree  $(m, n)$  is defined to be the map,

$$A_{C/S}: \text{Hilb}_{C/S}^m \times J_{C/S}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C/S}^{n-m},$$

given by tensoring the ideal of an  $m$ -cluster with a degree- $n$  invertible sheaf. We will often abbreviate  $A_{C/S}$  by  $A$ .

More precisely, an  $S$ -map  $t: T \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{C/S}^m \times J^n$  corresponds to a pair consisting of a flat closed subscheme  $Y$  of  $C \times T/T$  with length- $m$  fibers and of an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}'$  on  $C \times T'/T'$  with degree- $n$  fibers, where  $T'/T$  is an étale covering, such that the two pullbacks of  $\mathcal{L}'$  to  $C \times T''$  are equal, where  $T''/T' \times_T T'$  is an étale covering. Let  $\mathcal{I}'$  denote the ideal of  $Y \times T'$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}' \otimes \mathcal{L}'$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $n - m$  on  $C \times T'/T'$ , and its two pullbacks to  $C \times T''$  are equal. Hence  $\mathcal{I}' \otimes \mathcal{L}'$  defines a map  $A(t): T \rightarrow \bar{J}^{n-m}$ .

The Abel map is smooth when the geometric fibers of  $C/S$  have double points at worst, thanks to the following more general fact.

(SMOOTHNESS) *If all the fibers of  $C/S$  are Gorenstein, then the Abel map  $A$  is smooth.*

This fact is proved in Corollary (2.6) of [9], as an application of an even more general statement, Theorem (2.4) of [9].

**Lemma (3.2).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves. Assume there is a universal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C \times \bar{J}^1$ . Set  $P := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{I})$ . Let  $Z \subset P$  be the preimage of  $C \times J^1$ . Then the structure map of  $P$  induces an isomorphism  $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} C \times J^1$ , and there is a map  $\zeta: P \rightarrow \bar{J}$  extending the Abel map  $A: C \times J^1 \rightarrow \bar{J}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\rho: P \rightarrow C \times \bar{J}^1$  be the structure map; say  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . Set  $\gamma := (\rho_1, 1_P)$  and  $\theta := 1_C \times \rho_2$ . Then  $\rho$  factors as follows:

$$\rho: P \xrightarrow{\gamma} C \times P \xrightarrow{\theta} C \times \bar{J}^1.$$

So there is a natural isomorphism  $\gamma^*\theta^*\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho^*\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $q: \theta^*\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \gamma_*\rho^*\mathcal{I}$  be its adjoint. In other words,  $\gamma$  is the graph map of  $\rho_1$ , and its image,  $Y$  say, is the graph subscheme; in these terms,  $q$  is equal to the natural quotient map  $\theta^*\mathcal{I} \twoheadrightarrow \theta^*\mathcal{I}|_Y$ .

Let  $u: \rho^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(1)$  be the universal map, and form the composition,

$$r: \theta^* \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{q} \gamma_* \rho^* \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\gamma_* u} \gamma_* \mathcal{O}_P(1).$$

Then  $r$  is a surjective map between  $P$ -flat sheaves on  $C \times P$ . Set  $\mathcal{J} := \text{Ker}(r)$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}$  is flat too, and forming it commutes with passing to the fibers. Hence  $\mathcal{J}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree 0 on  $C \times P$ . So it defines a map  $\zeta: P \rightarrow \bar{J}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{I}|_{C \times J^1}$  is invertible,  $\rho$  restricts to an isomorphism  $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} C \times J^1$ , and  $u: \rho^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(1)$  restricts to an isomorphism. Hence the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \theta^* \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{r} \gamma_* \mathcal{O}_P(1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

is equal, on  $C \times Z$ , to the tensor product of  $\theta^* \mathcal{I}$  with the basic sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C \times P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_Y$  is the ideal of the graph subscheme  $Y$ . However, this sequence is the pullback under  $1_C \times \rho_1: C \times P \rightarrow C \times C$  of the basic sequence of the diagonal, (2.2.2). Hence  $\mathcal{J}|_{C \times Z}$  defines  $A \circ (\rho|_Z)$ . Thus  $\zeta$  extends  $A: C \times J^1 \rightarrow \bar{J}$ , and the proof is complete.

**Remark (3.3).** In the proof of Proposition (3.2), consider the map  $r$ . Being surjective,  $r$  defines a map,

$$P \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{\mathcal{I}/C \times \bar{J}^1/\bar{J}^1}^1,$$

and it is not hard to see that this map is an isomorphism. (In fact, there is nothing special about  $\mathcal{I}/C \times \bar{J}^1/\bar{J}^1$ . This is a simple general phenomenon. See [12, (2.2), p.109].) Thus  $\zeta: P \rightarrow \bar{J}$  is a universal lifting of  $A: C \times J^1 \rightarrow \bar{J}$  in the following sense: given a map  $T \rightarrow \bar{J}$  defined by a degree-0 torsion-free rank-1 subsheaf of a degree-1 torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C \times T$ , there exists a unique map  $T \rightarrow P$  such that the pair of sheaves is the pullback of the pair consisting of  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\theta^* \mathcal{I}$ .

**Lemma (3.4).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves, and  $T \rightarrow C$  an  $S$ -map. Let  $\Gamma$  be the graph subscheme of  $C \times T$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_\Gamma$  its ideal. Set  $W := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{I}_\Gamma)$ , and let  $\psi: W \rightarrow C \times T$  be the structure map. Assume that the geometric fibers of  $C/S$  only have surficial singularities. Then  $W/T$  is flat, and*

$$\psi_* \mathcal{O}_W = \mathcal{O}_{C \times T} \text{ and } R^i \psi_* \mathcal{O}_W = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1. \quad (3.4.1)$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may replace  $S$  by  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S,s}$  where  $s$  is an arbitrary point of  $S$ . By [11, O<sub>III</sub> 10.3.1, p. 20], there exists a flat local  $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ -algebra whose residue field is any given extension of the field of  $s$ , and we may replace  $S$  by the spectrum of this algebra. Thus we may assume that  $S$  is a local scheme with closed point  $s$  whose residue field  $k(s)$  is algebraically closed.

Embed  $C/S$  in a projective space  $\mathbf{P}_S^N$  for some  $N$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the ideal of  $C$ . Since  $C/S$  is flat,  $\mathcal{H}(s)$  is the ideal of  $C(s)$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  is flat. Also, for  $m \gg 0$ , the base change map is an isomorphism,

$$H^0(\mathcal{H}(m)) \otimes k(s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{H}(s)(m)).$$

Fix  $m \gg 0$ , and take  $N - 2$  general sections of  $\mathcal{H}(s)(m)$ . Via the above isomorphism, lift the sections back to sections of  $\mathcal{H}(m)$ , and form the scheme  $F$  of

common zeros of the lifts. Then  $F \supset C$ . Moreover, increasing  $m$  if necessary, we may assume that  $F(s)$  is a smooth surface since every singularity of  $C$  is surficial (see the proofs of (7)–(9) in [3] for example). Hence  $F/S$  is a smooth family of surfaces.

Consider the nested sequence of subschemes,

$$\Gamma \subset C \times T \subset F \times T.$$

Since  $F/S$  is smooth and  $\Gamma$  is a graph,  $\Gamma$  is regularly embedded in  $F \times T$ , say with ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ . Hence the symmetric algebra of  $\mathcal{J}$  is equal to its Rees algebra by Micali's theorem [15, p. 1955]. So  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{J})$  is equal to the blowup  $B$  of  $F \times T$  along  $\Gamma$ . Since  $F \times T/T$  and  $\Gamma/T$  are both smooth, so is  $B/T$ .

Denote the sheaf of ideals of  $C \times T$  in  $F \times T$  by  $\mathcal{K}$ , and form the exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

It gives rise to the following exact sequence of sheaves of graded modules over the symmetric algebra  $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{J})$  (see [7, p. 571]):

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \cdot \text{Sym}(\mathcal{J})[-1] \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathcal{I}_\Gamma) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking associated sheaves yields the following exact sequence on  $B$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_B(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.4.2)$$

Thus  $\mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_B(-1)$  is the ideal of  $W$  on  $B$ .

Since  $F/S$  is smooth and  $C/S$  is flat,  $C$  is a Cartier divisor on  $F$ . Hence  $\mathcal{K}$  is invertible. Thus  $W$  is a Cartier divisor on  $B$ ; in fact,  $W = D - E$  where  $D$  is the preimage of  $C \times T$  in  $B$  and where  $E$  is the exceptional divisor. Since  $W$  remains a Cartier divisor on the fibers of  $B/T$  and since  $B/T$  is flat,  $W/T$  is flat.

Consider the blowup map  $\beta: B \rightarrow F \times T$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is invertible, the projection formula yields, for every  $i$ ,

$$R^i \beta_* \mathcal{K} \cdot \mathcal{O}_B(-1) = \mathcal{K} \cdot R^i \beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(-1).$$

Hence Assertion (3.4.1) follows from the long exact sequence of higher direct images of  $\beta$  associated to the sequence (3.4.2) and from the following formulas:

$$\beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(n) = \mathcal{J}^n \text{ and } R^i \beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(n) = 0 \text{ for } i \geq 1 \text{ and } n \geq -1, \quad (3.4.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{J}^n = \mathcal{O}_{F \times T}$  for  $n \leq 0$  by convention. These formulas are proved next (and the proof applies more generally to any blowup along a regularly embedded center of codimension at least 2).

Since  $B = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{J})$ , restricting the base yields  $E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2)$ . Now,  $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2$  is locally free. Hence  $R^i \beta_* \mathcal{O}_E(n) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $n \geq -1$  by Serre's computation. So the long exact sequence associated to the sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.4.4)$$

yields a surjection  $R^i \beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(n+1) \twoheadrightarrow R^i \beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(n)$  for  $i \geq 1$  and for  $n \geq -1$ . By Serre's theorem,  $R^i \beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(n)$  vanishes for  $i \geq 1$  and  $n \gg 0$ . Hence, by descending induction on  $n$ , it vanishes for  $i \geq 1$  and  $n \geq -1$ .

Sequence (3.4.4) also gives rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}^n / \mathcal{J}^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(n+1) & \longrightarrow & \beta_* \mathcal{O}_B(n) & \longrightarrow & \beta_* \mathcal{O}_E(n)
\end{array}$$

Since  $E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2)$ , the right vertical map is an isomorphism for  $n \geq -1$  by Serre's computation again. The left vertical map is an isomorphism for  $n \gg 0$  by Serre's theorem. Hence, by descending induction on  $n$ , the middle vertical map is an isomorphism for  $n \geq -1$ . Thus Formulas (3.4.3) hold, and the proof is complete.

**Lemma (3.5)** (Generalized theorem of the cube). *Let  $S$  be a connected and locally Noetherian scheme. Let  $g: Y \rightarrow S$  and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be flat and proper maps. Let  $\sigma: S \rightarrow Y$  and  $\tau: Y \rightarrow X$  be sections of  $g$  and  $f$ . Assume*

- (i) *that  $\mathcal{O}_S = g_* \mathcal{O}_Y$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y = f_* \mathcal{O}_X$  hold universally, and*
- (ii) *that, for every closed point  $s \in S$ , the natural map on the fibers is injective:*

$$w: H^0(Y(s), R^1 f(s)_* \mathcal{O}_{X(s)}) \hookrightarrow H^1(f(s)^{-1} \sigma(s), \mathcal{O}_{f(s)^{-1} \sigma(s)}).$$

*Let  $s_0 \in S$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . If the three restrictions,*

$$\mathcal{L}|X(s_0), \mathcal{L}|\tau(Y), \text{ and } \mathcal{L}|f^{-1}\sigma(S),$$

*are trivial, then  $\mathcal{L}$  is trivial.*

**Proof.** It is not hard to adapt, mutatis mutandis, Mumford's proof of his similar theorem on p. 91 in [17]. It is a straightforward job, except at the beginning and at the end. At the beginning, Mumford uses his proposition on p. 89 to obtain the existence of a maximum closed subscheme  $T$  of  $S$  carrying an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $h^* \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L}|h^{-1}T$  where  $h := gf$ . (In fact, forming  $T$  commutes with base-changing  $S$ .) Mumford's construction of  $T$  is not hard to adapt; here's the idea.

Since  $h$  is flat and proper, by [11, III<sub>2</sub> 7.7.6, p. 69], there are coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $S$  such that, for every coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S$ , we have

$$h_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes h^* \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) \text{ and } h_*(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes h^* \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Take  $T := \text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \text{Supp}(\mathcal{N})$  using the annihilators of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  to define the scheme structures on their supports.

Over a point  $t \in T$ , the restrictions  $\mathcal{L}|X(t)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}|X(t)$  each have a nonzero section. Compose the first section with the dual of the second, obtaining a nonzero map  $\mathcal{O}_{X(t)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(t)}$ . This map is given by multiplication by a scalar because  $h_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_T$  holds universally. Hence  $\mathcal{L}|X(t)$  is trivial. Therefore, on a neighborhood of  $t$ , one element suffices to generate  $\mathcal{N}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{N}|T$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -module and that  $h^* \mathcal{N}|h^{-1}T = \mathcal{L}|h^{-1}T$ .

At the end of the proof of his theorem, Mumford uses the Künneth formula. Instead, we must use a related injection, which we get as follows. For each closed point  $s \in S$ , form the exact sequence of terms of low degree of the Leray spectral sequence:

$$H^1(Y(s), f(s)_* \mathcal{O}_{X(s)}) \xrightarrow{u} H^1(X(s), \mathcal{O}_{X(s)}) \xrightarrow{v} H^0(Y(s), R^1 f(s)_* \mathcal{O}_{X(s)}).$$

Since  $f(s)_*\mathcal{O}_{X(s)} = \mathcal{O}_{Y(s)}$  by Assumption (i), the section  $\tau(s): Y(s) \rightarrow X(s)$  of  $f(s)$  yields a map,

$$u': H^1(X(s), \mathcal{O}_{X(s)}) \rightarrow H^1(Y(s), \mathcal{O}_{Y(s)}),$$

splitting  $u$ . Hence, by Assumption (ii), the map  $(u', w \circ v)$  is an injection,

$$H^1(X(s), \mathcal{O}_{X(s)}) \hookrightarrow H^1(Y(s), \mathcal{O}_{Y(s)}) \oplus H^1(f(s)^{-1}\sigma(s), \mathcal{O}_{f(s)^{-1}\sigma(s)}).$$

This injection works in place of the Künneth formula.

**Lemma (3.6).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves with surficial singularities, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an invertible sheaf on  $\bar{J}$ . Assume that  $S$  is connected, and that  $S$  contains a point  $s_0$  such that the fiber  $\mathcal{P}(s_0)$  is trivial. Form the Abel pullback  $A^*\mathcal{P}$  on  $C \times J^1$ . Then the following two assertions hold.*

(1) *The pullback  $A^*\mathcal{P}$  defines a map  $a: J^1 \rightarrow J$ , and  $a$  factors uniquely through the structure map  $J^1 \rightarrow S$ .*

(2) *If the smooth locus  $C^{\text{sm}}$  of  $C/S$  admits a section, then there are invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{M}_1$  on  $C$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  on  $J^1$  such that*

$$A^*\mathcal{P} = p_1^*\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{M}_2,$$

where the  $p_i$  are the projections.

**Proof.** Consider Part (1). A priori,  $A^*\mathcal{P}$  defines a map from  $J^1$  to  $\text{Pic}_{C/S}$ . However, the image lies in the open subscheme  $J$  because  $A^*\mathcal{P}(s_0)$  is trivial and  $S$  is connected.

If there is an  $S$ -factorization  $a: J^1 \xrightarrow{b} S \xrightarrow{c} J$ , then  $b$  must be the structure map. So  $b$  is faithfully flat. Hence, by descent theory,  $c$  is uniquely determined.

Assume for a moment that the smooth locus  $C^{\text{sm}}$  of  $C/S$  admits a section, and that Part (2) holds. Then  $\mathcal{M}_1$  defines an  $S$ -map  $c: S \rightarrow J$  such that  $a = cb$ , where  $b$  is the structure map.

In general, there is an étale covering  $S'/S$  such that  $C^{\text{sm}} \times S'/S'$  admits a section. So, by the reasoning above,  $a \times S'$  factors through a unique map  $c': S' \rightarrow J \times S'$ . Set  $S'' := S' \times S'$ . Then  $a \times S''$  factors through both  $c' \times S'$  and  $S' \times c'$ . So the latter two maps are equal. Hence  $c'$  descends to a suitable map  $c: S \rightarrow J$ . Thus Part (1) follows from Part (2).

To prove Part (2), assume from now on that  $C^{\text{sm}}$  admits a section. Then there exists a universal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $C \times \bar{J}^1$ . Set  $P := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{I})$ . Let  $\rho: P \rightarrow C \times \bar{J}^1$  denote the structure map, and

$$\rho_1: P \rightarrow C \text{ and } \rho_2: P \rightarrow \bar{J}^1$$

the natural maps. Now,  $A: C \times J^2 \rightarrow \bar{J}^1$  is smooth; see (3.1). So its image  $V$  is open. Also  $V \supset J^1$ . Set

$$X := \rho^{-1}(C \times V) \text{ and } Z := \rho^{-1}(C \times J^1).$$

Then  $\rho$  induces an isomorphism  $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} C \times J^1$ ; so the  $\rho_i$  extend the projections  $p_i$ .

Say  $\theta: S \rightarrow C$  is the section, let  $Q$  be its image, and set  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_C(Q)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_C(Q)$  defines a section  $\phi: S \rightarrow \bar{J}^1$ , whose image lies in  $J^1$ . So  $\phi$  defines a section  $\xi_1: C \rightarrow P$  of  $\rho_1$  because  $\rho$  is an isomorphism over  $C \times J^1$ . Moreover,  $\theta$  defines a section  $\xi_2: \bar{J}^1 \rightarrow P$  of  $\rho_2$  because  $\rho$  is an isomorphism over  $C^{\text{sm}} \times \bar{J}^1$ .

Consider the map  $\zeta: P \rightarrow \bar{J}$  of (3.2) extending  $A: C \times J^1 \rightarrow \bar{J}$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_2 &:= \zeta^* \mathcal{P}, & \mathcal{N}_2 &:= \xi_2^* \mathcal{Q}_2; \\ \mathcal{Q}_1 &:= \mathcal{Q}_2 \otimes \rho_2^* \mathcal{N}_2^{-1}, & \mathcal{N}_1 &:= \xi_1^* \mathcal{Q}_1; \\ \mathcal{Q}_0 &:= \mathcal{Q}_1 \otimes \rho_1^* \mathcal{N}_1^{-1} = \mathcal{Q}_2 \otimes \rho_2^* \mathcal{N}_2^{-1} \otimes \rho_1^* \mathcal{N}_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, by hypothesis and by construction, the restrictions,

$$\mathcal{Q}_0|P(s_0) \text{ and } \mathcal{Q}_0|\xi_2(\bar{J}^1) \text{ and } \mathcal{Q}_0|\rho_2^{-1}\phi(S), \quad (3.6.1)$$

are trivial. It suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{Q}_0|X$  is trivial.

Set  $q := \rho_2|X$ , so  $q: X \rightarrow V$ . Then  $q$  is flat. Indeed, let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal subscheme of  $C \times C$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_\Delta$  its ideal. Set  $W := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta)$ . Then there is a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longleftarrow & W \times J^2 \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ C \times V & \xleftarrow{1 \times A} & C \times C \times J^2 \end{array}$$

because, on  $C \times C \times J^2$ , the pullback of  $\mathcal{I}|C \times V$  is equal to the tensor product of the pullback of  $\mathcal{I}_\Delta$  with an invertible sheaf (namely, the pullback of a universal sheaf on  $C \times J^2$ ). So there is a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longleftarrow & W \times J^2 \\ \downarrow q & \square & \downarrow \\ V & \xleftarrow{A} & C \times J^2 \end{array}$$

The right vertical map is flat thanks to Lemma (3.4) applied with  $C$  for  $T$ . Also,  $A: C \times J^2 \rightarrow V$  is faithfully flat, being smooth and surjective. Hence  $q$  is flat.

Similarly,  $q_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_V$  holds universally. Indeed, by the preceding argument, this statement results from the corresponding statement about the composition  $W \rightarrow C \times C \rightarrow C$ . The corresponding statement results from Lemma (3.4) and a basic fact:  $p_* \mathcal{O}_C = \mathcal{O}_S$  holds universally where  $p: C \rightarrow S$  is the structure map.

The generalized theorem of the cube, Lemma (3.5), does not apply to the triple  $X/V/S$  because  $V/S$  is not proper. However,  $V$  is swept out by copies of  $C$  because  $A: C \times J^2 \rightarrow V$  is surjective. So we can circumvent the obstacle as follows.

Since  $q: X \rightarrow V$  is flat and proper, and since  $q_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_V$  holds universally, there exists a maximum closed subscheme  $Y$  of  $V$  carrying an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $q^* \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{Q}_0|q^{-1}Y$ , and forming  $Y$  commutes with base-changing  $V$ ; see the proof of Lemma (3.5). In fact,  $\mathcal{H}$  is trivial because  $\mathcal{Q}_0|\xi_2(V)$  is trivial. Hence  $\mathcal{Q}_0|X$  is trivial if  $Y = V$ . Since forming  $Y$  commutes with base-changing  $V$ , it suffices to construct a faithfully flat map  $U \rightarrow V$  such that the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to  $X \times_V U$  is trivial.

Given  $n \geq 0$ , let  $C_n$  denote the  $n$ -fold self-product of  $C^{\text{sm}}$ . Let  $\gamma_n: C_n \rightarrow J^2$  be the map that sends  $n$   $T$ -points of  $C^{\text{sm}}$ , say with graph images  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$ , to the  $T$ -point of  $J^2$  representing the following invertible sheaf on  $C \times T$ :

$$\mathcal{O}((n+2)Q \times T - Q_1 - \dots - Q_n).$$

Finally, set  $\delta_n := A \circ (1_C \times \gamma_n)$ , so  $\delta_n: C \times C_n \rightarrow V$ .

Consider the factorization  $\gamma_n: C_n \xrightarrow{b} \text{Hilb}_{C^{\text{sm}}/S}^n \xrightarrow{c} J^2$ . The map  $b$  is faithfully flat for every  $n$ , and  $c$  is faithfully flat for  $n \gg 0$ . So  $\gamma_n$  is faithfully flat for  $n \gg 0$ . Hence,  $\delta_n$  is faithfully flat for  $n \gg 0$ , since  $A: C \times J^2 \rightarrow V$  is faithfully flat.

Thus, it suffices to prove that the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to  $X \times_V (C \times C_n)$  is trivial for every  $n$ . To do so, we apply Lemma (3.5) to  $X \times_V (C \times C_n)/(C \times C_n)/C_n$  with the sections,

$$\sigma := \theta \times 1_{C_n} \text{ and } \tau := \xi_2 \times 1_{C \times C_n}.$$

Assumption (i) of (3.5) holds as  $q_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_V$  and  $p_*\mathcal{O}_C = \mathcal{O}_S$  hold universally.

To check Assumption (ii), let  $t \in C_n$ . Set  $T := C \otimes k(t)$  and  $W_T := W \otimes k(t)$ . Denote by  $\psi: W_T \rightarrow C \times T$  the structure map, and by  $\psi_2: C \times T \rightarrow T$  the second projection. Then Lemma (3.4) implies that  $\psi_*\mathcal{O}_{W_T} = \mathcal{O}_{C \times T}$  and  $R^1\psi_*\mathcal{O}_{W_T} = 0$ . Hence, using the Leray spectral sequence and making the substitution, we get

$$R^1(\psi_2\psi)_*\mathcal{O}_{W_T} = R^1\psi_{2*}(\psi_*\mathcal{O}_{W_T}) = R^1\psi_{2*}\mathcal{O}_{C \times T}.$$

Now,  $C \times T = T \times T$ . So, commuting cohomology with flat base change, we get

$$R^1\psi_{2*}\mathcal{O}_{C \times T} = H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_T.$$

Therefore, the following natural map is an isomorphism:

$$H^0(T, R^1(\psi_2\psi)_*\mathcal{O}_{W_T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T).$$

It now follows immediately that Assumption (ii) holds.

It remains to check the triviality of the three appropriate pullbacks of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$ . First,  $C_n$  is connected and maps onto  $S$  because the fibers of  $C/S$  are geometrically integral. Moreover,  $\mathcal{Q}_0|_{P(s_0)}$  is trivial by (3.6.1). Hence,  $C_n$  contains a point  $t_0$  that maps to  $s_0$ , and the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to  $X \otimes k(t_0)$  is trivial.

Second, consider the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to  $\tau(C \times C_n)$ . This pullback is trivial because  $\mathcal{Q}_0|\xi_2(\bar{J}^1)$  is trivial by (3.6.1).

Finally, consider the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to  $X \times_V \sigma(C_n)$ . To begin, suppose  $n = 0$ . Now,  $C_0 = S$ . So  $\sigma = \theta$  and  $\delta_0\theta = \phi$ . Hence  $X \times_V \sigma(C_0)$  is equal to  $\rho_2^{-1}\phi(S)$ . However, the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to the latter scheme is trivial by (3.6.1).

Proceeding by induction on  $n$ , suppose that the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to  $X \times_V \sigma(C_n)$  is trivial. Then Lemma (3.5) implies that the pullback of  $\mathcal{Q}_0$  to  $X \times_V (C \times C_n)$  is trivial. Hence so is the pullback to  $X \times_V (C^{\text{sm}} \times C_n)$ . However, the latter scheme is equal to  $X \times_V \sigma(C_{n+1})$ , as is easy to see. The proof of the lemma is now complete.

**Proposition (3.7).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves with surficial singularities. Assume  $S$  is connected, and let  $U$  be the connected component of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$  containing the zero section. Then there exists a natural map  $c: U \rightarrow J$  such that  $c \circ \beta = 1_J$ , where  $\beta$  is the map of Proposition (2.2). Furthermore, given any invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1 on  $C/S$ , the map  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  of Subsection (2.1) restricts to  $c$ ; in particular,  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*[U]$  is independent of  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

**Proof.** The structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_C$  defines a section of  $\bar{J}/S$ . Hence,  $\bar{J} \times U$  admits a universal invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$ ; see Prop. 2.1 on Page 232-04 of [10]. Also, for every point  $u_0$  of  $U$  on the identity section,  $\mathcal{P}(u_0)$  is trivial. On  $C \times J^1 \times U$ , form

$(A \times 1_U)^*\mathcal{P}$ . This pullback defines a map  $a: J^1 \times U \rightarrow J$ . It factors through a map  $c: U \rightarrow J$  by virtue of Part (1) of Lemma (3.6) applied to  $C \times U/U$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Given  $\mathcal{L}$ , let  $[\mathcal{L}] \in J^1(S)$  represent it. Then the fiber  $a([\mathcal{L}]): U \rightarrow J$  is equal to  $c$  on the one hand, and to  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*|_U$  on the other. Thus the last assertion holds.

The equation  $c \circ \beta = 1_J$  follows. Indeed, it suffices to check this equation after making an étale base change  $S'/S$ . After a suitable such base change, there exists a  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then, by what we just proved,  $c$  is equal to  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$ , and so Proposition (2.2) yields the asserted equation. The proof is now complete.

#### 4. Proof and extension

(4.1) *Proof of the autoduality theorem of (2.1).* For a moment, make the following assumption: for each geometric point  $s$  of  $S$  and for some invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_s$  of degree 1 on the curve  $C(s)$ , the Abel map induces an isomorphism,

$$A_{\mathcal{L}_s}^*: \text{Pic}_{J(s)}^\tau \xrightarrow{\sim} J(s).$$

This case of the theorem implies the general case, as we'll now prove.

Set  $U := \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^\tau$  and consider the map  $\beta: J \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}$  of Proposition (2.2). The image of  $\beta$  lies in  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$ , hence also in  $U$ . Since  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \circ \beta = 1_J$  for any invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1 on  $C/S$ , we have to prove that  $\beta: J \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism. To do so, we may change the base to an étale covering of  $S$ . Thus we may assume that the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section. Then  $C/S$  does carry an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1. Hence  $\beta: J \rightarrow U$  is a right inverse, so a closed embedding. Since  $J$  is flat over  $S$ , it follows that  $\beta: J \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism, being one on each geometric fiber. Therefore,  $U = \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$ . Thus to prove the theorem, we may assume that  $S$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field.

Proceed by induction on the difference  $\delta$  between the arithmetic genus and the geometric genus of  $C$ . First, assume  $\delta = 0$ . Then  $C$  is smooth. Hence  $J$  is complete, so an Abelian variety. Given any Abelian variety  $G$ , in the theorem on p.125 of [17], Mumford proves that the scheme  $\text{Pic}_G^0$  is a quotient of  $G$  by a finite group; hence,  $\text{Pic}_G^0$  is integral and has the same dimension as  $G$ . Moreover,  $\text{Pic}_G^0$  is equal to  $\text{Pic}_G^\tau$  by Corollary 2 on p.178 of [17]. Now,  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \circ \beta = 1_J$  for any invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1 on  $C$  by Proposition (2.2). So  $\beta$  is a closed embedding of  $J$  in  $\text{Pic}_J^0$ . Hence  $\beta$  is an isomorphism. Thus the theorem holds when  $\delta = 0$ .

Assume  $\delta \geq 1$  from now on. Fix an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  of degree 1 on  $C$ . Then  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^* \circ \beta = 1_J$  by Proposition (2.2). So  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is an epimorphism. We must prove it is a monomorphism. So let  $\phi: T \rightarrow U$  be an  $S$ -map of finite type, say arising from the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $\bar{J} \times T$ . Assume that  $(A_{\mathcal{L}} \times 1_T)^*\mathcal{N}$  is equal to the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $T$ . We must prove that  $\mathcal{N}$  is the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $T$ . To do so, we may assume that  $T$  is connected.

First set  $U^0 := \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}}^0$  and assume  $\phi(T) \subset U^0$ . Note that  $U^0$  is an open subscheme of  $U$  because we are now working over an algebraically closed field. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a universal invertible sheaf on  $\bar{J} \times U^0$ . Then there is an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $T$  such that

$$(1 \times \phi)^*\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{N} \otimes q_2^*\mathcal{T} \text{ on } \bar{J} \times T,$$



where  $q_2: \bar{J} \times T \rightarrow T$  is the projection.

Let  $u_0 \in U^0$  denote the identity; so  $\mathcal{P}(u_0)$  is trivial. Hence Lemma (3.6) applies to  $C \times U^0/U^0$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ . Part (2) of the lemma implies that  $(A \times 1)^*\mathcal{P}$  is equal on  $C \times J^1 \times U^0$  to the tensor product of the pullbacks of invertible sheaves on  $C \times U^0$  and  $J^1 \times U^0$ . Therefore, there are invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{N}_1$  on  $J^1 \times T$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  on  $C \times T$  such that

$$(A \times 1)^*\mathcal{N} = q_{23}^*\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes q_{13}^*\mathcal{N}_2 \text{ on } C \times J^1 \times T,$$

where  $q_{23}$  and  $q_{13}$  are the projections.

Let  $[\mathcal{L}] \in J^1$  represent  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the equation above yields

$$(A_{\mathcal{L}} \times 1)^*\mathcal{N} = ((A \times 1)^*\mathcal{N})[\mathcal{L}] = (q_2^*\mathcal{N}_1[\mathcal{L}]) \otimes \mathcal{N}_2 \text{ on } C \times T.$$

By assumption, the term on the left is the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $T$ ; hence, so is  $\mathcal{N}_2$ . Therefore,

$$(A \times 1)^*\mathcal{N} = q_{23}^*\mathcal{R} \tag{4.1.1}$$

for some invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $J^1 \times T$ .

Since  $\delta \geq 1$ , there is a double point  $Q \in C$ . Let  $\varphi: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$  be the blowup at  $Q$ . Then there is a natural scheme  $P$ , known as the *presentation scheme*, and there are natural maps  $\kappa: P \rightarrow \bar{J}_C$  and  $\pi: P \rightarrow \bar{J}_{C^\dagger}$ ; see Subsections (3.1) and (3.3), in [9], or [6]. Since  $Q$  is a double point,  $P$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger}$ ; see Theorem (6.3) in [9]. Now, since  $\mathcal{N}$  arises from a map  $T \rightarrow U^0$ , the pullback  $(\kappa \times 1)^*\mathcal{N}$  restricts on each  $\mathbf{P}^1$  to a sheaf of degree 0, hence is the pullback of an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{R}^\dagger$  on  $\bar{J}_{C^\dagger} \times T$ .

Set  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger := \varphi^*\mathcal{L}$ . By Lemma (6.4) in [9] the singularities of  $C^\dagger$  are only double points. Hence, by Corollary (5.5) in [9], there is a map  $\Lambda: C^\dagger \times J_C^1 \rightarrow P$  making the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\dagger \times J_C^1 & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & P \\ 1 \times \varphi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ C^\dagger \times J_{C^\dagger}^1 & \xrightarrow{A_{C^\dagger}} & \bar{J}_{C^\dagger} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^\dagger \times J_C^1 & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & P \\ \varphi \times 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa \\ C \times J_C^1 & \xrightarrow{A_C} & \bar{J}_C \end{array} \tag{4.1.2}$$

Those diagrams yield these equations:

$$(A_{\mathcal{L}^\dagger} \times 1)^*\mathcal{R}^\dagger = (\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}} \times 1)^*(\kappa \times 1)^*\mathcal{N} = (\varphi \times 1)^*(A_{\mathcal{L}} \times 1)^*\mathcal{N} = p_2^*\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{L}],$$

where  $p_2: C^\dagger \times T \rightarrow T$  is the projection, and  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the composition of  $\Lambda$  with the map  $C^\dagger \rightarrow C^\dagger \times J_C^1$  defined by  $\mathcal{L}$ .

By induction, autoduality holds for  $C^\dagger$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R}^\dagger$  is the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $T$ , whence so is  $(\kappa \times 1)^*\mathcal{N}$ . Invert the latter sheaf on  $T$ , pull it back to  $\bar{J}_C \times T$ , tensor with  $\mathcal{N}$ , and use the product to replace  $\mathcal{N}$ . Thus we may assume that  $(\kappa \times 1)^*\mathcal{N}$  is trivial. So  $(\Lambda \times 1)^*(\kappa \times 1)^*\mathcal{N}$  is trivial too. So, since the second diagram above is commutative,  $(\varphi \times 1 \times 1)^*(A_C \times 1)^*\mathcal{N}$  is trivial. Hence Equation (4.1.1) implies that  $(A_C \times 1)^*\mathcal{N}$  is trivial.

Fix isomorphisms,

$$u: (A_C \times 1)^*\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{C \times J_C^1 \times T} \text{ and } v: (\kappa \times 1)^*\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{P \times T},$$

and set  $u^\dagger := (\Lambda \times 1)^*v$ . Since the second diagram above is commutative,  $u^\dagger$  and  $(\varphi \times 1 \times 1)^*u$  differ by multiplication with an invertible (regular) function on  $C^\dagger \times J_C^1 \times T$ . Since  $C^\dagger$  is complete and integral, this function is the pullback of an invertible (regular) function on  $J_C^1 \times T$ . Modifying  $u$  accordingly, we may assume that  $u^\dagger = (\varphi \times 1 \times 1)^*u$ .

Set  $R := (C \times J_C^1) \times_{\bar{J}_C} (C \times J_C^1)$  and  $R^\dagger := R \times_{\bar{J}_C} P$ , and let  $g: R^\dagger \rightarrow R$  be the projection. By Corollary (5.5) in [9], the second square in (4.1.2) is Cartesian. Hence  $R^\dagger = (C^\dagger \times J_C^1) \times_P (C^\dagger \times J_C^1)$ , and all three squares in the following diagram are Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R^\dagger \times T & \rightrightarrows & C^\dagger \times J_C^1 \times T & \xrightarrow{\Lambda \times 1} & P \times T \\ \downarrow g \times 1 & \square & \downarrow \varphi \times 1 \times 1 & \square & \downarrow \kappa \times 1 \\ R \times T & \rightrightarrows & C \times J_C^1 \times T & \xrightarrow{A_C \times 1} & \bar{J}_C \times T \end{array}$$

Form the two pullbacks  $u_1, u_2$  of  $u$  to  $R \times T$  and correspondingly those  $u_1^\dagger, u_2^\dagger$  of  $u^\dagger$  to  $R^\dagger \times T$ . Now,  $u^\dagger := (\Lambda \times 1)^*v$ ; so  $u_1^\dagger = u_2^\dagger$ .

The Abel map  $A_C$  is smooth; see (3.1). So the two projections from  $R \times T$  to  $C \times J^1 \times T$  are smooth too. Hence the associated points of  $R \times T$  map to simple points of  $C$ . Now,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism off the double point  $Q$ . Hence  $g: R^\dagger \rightarrow R$  is an isomorphism over the associated points of  $R$ . Since

$$(g \times 1)^*u_1 = u_1^\dagger = u_2^\dagger = (g \times 1)^*u_2,$$

therefore  $u_1 = u_2$  holds at every associated point of  $R \times T$ , so everywhere.

Since  $u_1 = u_2$ , by descent theory  $u$  descends to a trivialization of  $\mathcal{N}$  on the image  $V \times T$  of  $A_C \times 1$ . Now,  $\bar{J}_C$  is a local complete intersection of dimension  $g$  by [1, (9), p. 8], where  $g$  is the arithmetic genus of  $C$ . Since each singular point of  $C$  is a double point,  $\text{cod}(\bar{J}_C - V, \bar{J}_C) \geq 2$  by Corollary (6.8) in [9]. Hence,  $\mathcal{N}$  is the direct image of its restriction to  $V \times T$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{N}$  is trivial. The proof is now complete in the case where  $\phi(T) \subset U^0$ . Call this the “first case.”

Using our work in the first case, we will now establish the general case. To do so, fix an arbitrary rational point  $t_0 \in T$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_0$  be the fiber  $\mathcal{N}(t_0)$  viewed on  $\bar{J}$ . We will prove that  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is trivial. Then we may conclude that  $\phi(T) \subset U^0$ , and so we will have a complete proof of the autoduality theorem of (2.1).

By hypothesis,  $\mathcal{N}_0$  corresponds to a point of  $U$ . So some multiple  $\mathcal{N}_0^n$  corresponds to a point of  $U^0$ . Moreover,

$$A_{\mathcal{L}}^*(\mathcal{N}_0^n) = (A_{\mathcal{L}}^*\mathcal{N}_0)^n = \mathcal{O}_C.$$

Hence, by the preceding case with  $S$  for  $T$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0^n$  for  $\mathcal{N}$ , we may conclude that  $\mathcal{N}_0^n$  is the pullback of a sheaf on  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a point,  $\mathcal{N}_0^n$  is trivial on  $\bar{J}$ .

Consider  $A^*\mathcal{N}_0$  on  $C \times J^1$ . It defines a map  $\psi: J^1 \rightarrow J$  such that  $\psi[\mathcal{L}] = 0$ . Now,  $\mathcal{N}_0^n$  is trivial. So  $\psi(J^1)$  lies in the kernel of the  $n$ th power map  $J \rightarrow J$ . This kernel is finite. Hence  $\psi(J^1) = \{0\}$  since  $\psi(J^1)$  is connected and it contains 0. Hence  $\psi$  is constant since  $J^1$  is reduced. Therefore,  $A^*\mathcal{N}_0$  is equal to the pullback of some invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $J^1$ .

Proceed by induction on  $\delta$  as in the first case, but with  $S$  for  $T$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0$  for  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\delta = 0$ , then  $U^0 = U$  as we saw above, and so  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is trivial by the first case.

If  $\delta \geq 1$ , then the argument in the first case goes through exactly as before since the analogue of Equation (4.1.1) holds. Thus  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is trivial, and the proof is now complete.

**Definition (4.2).** Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves,  $\mathcal{M}$  an invertible sheaf of degree  $m$  on  $C/S$ . Define the *translation* by  $\mathcal{M}$  to be the map,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}: \bar{J}^n \rightarrow \bar{J}^{m+n},$$

given by tensoring  $\mathcal{M}$  with a torsion-free sheaf.

More precisely, an  $S$ -map  $t: T \rightarrow \bar{J}^n$  corresponds to a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf  $\mathcal{N}'$  on  $C \times T'/T'$  with degree- $n$  fibers, where  $T'/T$  is an étale covering, such that the two pullbacks of  $\mathcal{N}'$  to  $C \times T''$  are equal, where  $T''/T' \times_T T'$  is an étale covering. Let  $\mathcal{M}'$  be the pullback of  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $C \times T'$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{N}'$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf of degree  $m+n$  on  $C \times T'/T'$ , and its two pullbacks to  $C \times T''$  are equal. Hence  $\mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{N}'$  defines a map  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(t): T \rightarrow \bar{J}^{m+n}$ .

**Corollary (4.3).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves,  $m$  and  $n$  integers, and  $\mathcal{M}$  an invertible sheaf of degree  $m$  on  $C/S$ . If the curves only have double points as singularities, then the translation map  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$  induces an isomorphism,*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}^*: \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}^{m+n}/S}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{\bar{J}^n/S}^0,$$

*which is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular, if  $m = 0$ , then  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}^*$  is equal to the identity on  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}^n/S}^0$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $\tau_{\mathcal{O}_C} = 1_{\bar{J}^n}$ . And, if  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is also an invertible sheaf on  $C$ , then

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \tau_{\mathcal{M}_1} = \tau_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_1}.$$

So  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$  is an isomorphism, whose inverse is  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}^{-1}}$ . Hence  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}^*$  is an isomorphism. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is of degree  $m$  too, then  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_1^{-1}$  is of degree 0, and it suffices to prove that  $\tau_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_1^{-1}}^* = 1$ . Thus we may assume  $m = 0$ .

To prove that  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}^* = 1$ , we may change the base by an étale covering, and so assume that the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section  $\sigma$ . Set  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_C(\sigma(S))$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf on  $C$ . So,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}} = \tau_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}} \circ \tau_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \tau_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -n}}.$$

Hence, since  $\mathcal{L}$  is of degree 1 on  $C/S$ , we may assume  $n = 0$ .

Note that  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}} \circ A_{\mathcal{L}} = A_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{L}}$ . Now,  $A_{\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{L}}^* = A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  by Proposition (3.7). Since  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$  is an isomorphism by the autoduality theorem,  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}^* = 1$ , and the proof is complete.

**Corollary (4.4).** *Let  $C/S$  be a flat projective family of integral curves. If the curves only have double points as singularities, then the autoduality isomorphism  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} J$  extends to a map  $\eta: \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{J}$ , where  $\bar{U}$  is the natural compactification of  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$ .*

**Proof.** Since the curves have surficial singularities, the projective  $S$ -scheme  $\bar{J}$  is flat, and its geometric fibers are integral; see [1, (9), p. 8]. Hence, by [4, Thm. (3.1), p. 28], there exists an  $S$ -scheme  $U^=$  that parameterizes the torsion-free rank-1 sheaves on the fibers of  $\bar{J}/S$ ; the connected components of  $U^=$  are proper

over  $S$ . Moreover,  $U^=$  contains  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$  as an open subscheme, and its scheme-theoretic closure in  $U^=$  is, by definition,  $\bar{U}$ . Furthermore, since  $J/S$  is smooth and admits a section (for example, the 0-section), by [4, Thm. (3.4)(iii), p. 40],  $\bar{J} \times \bar{U}/\bar{U}$  carries a universal sheaf  $\mathcal{P}$ , which is determined up to tensor product with the pullback of an invertible sheaf on  $\bar{U}$ .

The extension  $\eta$  of the autoduality map is unique, if it exists. Hence, by descent theory, it suffices to construct  $\eta$  after changing the base via an étale covering. So we may assume that the smooth locus of  $C/S$  admits a section  $\sigma$ . Set  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_C(\sigma(S))$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible sheaf of degree 1 on  $C/S$ . So the autoduality isomorphism is simply  $A_{\mathcal{L}}^*$ , and it suffices to prove that  $(A_{\mathcal{L}} \times 1_{\bar{U}})^*\mathcal{P}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C \times \bar{U}/\bar{U}$ .

The Abel map  $A: C \times J^1 \rightarrow \bar{J}$  is smooth; see (3.1). Hence  $(A \times 1_{\bar{U}})^*\mathcal{P}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C \times J^1 \times \bar{U}/\bar{U}$ . It suffices to prove that this sheaf is torsion-free rank-1 on  $C \times J^1 \times \bar{U}/(J^1 \times \bar{U})$ . The sheaf is flat over  $J^1 \times \bar{U}$ , by the local criterion, if its fiber is flat over the fiber  $J^1(u)$  for each  $u \in \bar{U}$ . Fix a  $u$ . Making a suitable faithfully flat base change  $S'/S$ , we may assume that the residue field of  $u$  is equal to that of its image in  $S$ . Set  $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{P}(u)$ . It suffices to prove that  $A(u)^*\mathcal{I}$  is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf on  $C(u) \times J^1(u)/J^1(u)$ .

Suppose given an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  of degree 0 on  $C/S$ . Then the translation map  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$  gives rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times J^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \bar{J} \\ 1_C \times \tau_{\mathcal{M}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_{\mathcal{M}} \\ C \times J^1 & \xrightarrow{A} & \bar{J} \end{array}$$

By Corollary (4.3),  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}^*$  is the identity on  $\text{Pic}_{\bar{J}/S}^0$ , so on its closure  $\bar{U}$  too. Thus  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u)^*\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$ . Now, the diagram is commutative; hence,

$$(1_C \times \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u))^*A(u)^*\mathcal{I} = A(u)^*\mathcal{I}. \quad (4.4.1)$$

Since  $J^1(u)$  is integral, the lemma of general flatness applies, and it implies that there is a dense open subset  $W$  of  $J^1(u)$  over which  $A(u)^*\mathcal{I}$  is flat. Now, by Part (ii)(a) of Lemma (5.12) on p. 85 of [5], it is an open condition on the base for a flat family of sheaves to be torsion-free rank-1 provided they are supported on a family whose geometric fibers are integral of the same dimension. Hence, since  $A(u)^*\mathcal{I}$  is torsion-free and of rank 1, after shrinking  $W$ , we may assume that the restriction of  $A(u)^*\mathcal{I}$  to  $C \times W/W$  is torsion-free rank-1. Fix a point  $j_1$  of  $W$  and an arbitrary point  $j_2$  of  $J^1(u)$ .

Making a suitable faithfully flat base change  $S'/S$ , we may assume that each of  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  lies in the image of a section of  $J^1/S$ . These sections represent invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  of degree 1 on  $C/S$ ; set  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2^{-1}$ . Equation (4.4.1) implies that  $A(u)^*\mathcal{I}$  is torsion-free rank-1 over  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(u)^{-1}W$  as well. Now,  $j_2$  is an arbitrary point of  $J^1(u)$ . Hence  $A(u)^*\mathcal{I}$  is torsion-free rank-1 on  $C(u) \times J^1(u)/J^1(u)$ , and the proof is complete.

## References

- [1] A. ALTMAN, A. IARROBINO, AND S. KLEIMAN, Irreducibility of the compactified Jacobian, in “Real and complex singularities. Proceedings, Oslo 1976,” P. Holm (ed.), Sijthoff & Noordhoff, 1977, pp. 1–12.
- [2] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, Compactifying the Jacobian, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82**(6) (1976), 947–49.
- [3] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, Bertini theorems for hypersurface sections containing a subscheme, *Comm. Alg.* **7**(8) (1979), 775–790.
- [4] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, Compactifying the Picard scheme II, *Amer. J. Math.* **101** (1979), 10–41.
- [5] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, Compactifying the Picard scheme, *Adv. Math.* **35** (1980), 50–112.
- [6] A. ALTMAN AND S. KLEIMAN, The presentation functor and the compactified Jacobian, in “The Grothendieck Festschrift. Vol. I,” P. Cartier, L. Illusie, N.M. Katz, G. Laumon, Y. Manin, K.A. Ribet (eds.) *Birkhäuser Prog. Math.* **86** (1990), 15–32.
- [7] D. EISENBUD, Commutative Algebra, Springer GTM **150** (1995).
- [8] E. ESTEVES, Compactifying the relative Jacobian over families of reduced curves, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*.
- [9] E. ESTEVES, M. GAGNÉ, AND S. KLEIMAN, Abel maps and presentation schemes, to appear.
- [10] A. GROTHENDIECK, Technique de descente et théorèmes d’existence en géométrie algébrique V, Séminaire Bourbaki, 232, Feb. 1962, and VI, Séminaire Bourbaki, 236, May 1962.
- [11] A. GROTHENDIECK AND J. DIEUDONNÉ, Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, Publ. Math. IHES, O<sub>III</sub>, Vol. 11, 1961; III<sub>2</sub>, Vol. 17, 1963; IV<sub>3</sub>, Vol. 28, 1966; and IV<sub>4</sub>, Vol. 32, 1967.
- [12] S. KLEIMAN, Multiple-point formulas II: the Hilbert scheme, in “Enumerative Geometry. Proceedings, Sitges, 1987,” S. Xambó Descamps (ed.), Springer LNM **1436** (1990), 101–138.
- [13] F. KNUDSEN AND D. MUMFORD, The projectivity of the moduli space of curves I, *Math. Scand.* **39** (1976), 19–55.
- [14] S. LANG, Abelian varieties, Interscience Tract **7** (1959).
- [15] A. MICALI, Algèbre symétrique d’un idéal, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **251** (1960), 1954–56.
- [16] D. MUMFORD, Geometric invariant theory, Springer Ergebnisse **34** (1965).
- [17] D. MUMFORD, Abelian Varieties, Oxford Univ. Press, 1970.
- [18] M. RAYNAUD, Un théorème de représentabilité relative sur le foncteur de Picard, Exposé XII, in “Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann–Roch (SGA 6),” Springer LNM **225** (1971).